

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

06 Sep 10 18:14

Peter Becker

+49 6221 7360439
SEP 07 2010

S.2

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	11/579,462	BECKER-KOJIC, ZORICA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thaian N. Ton	1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thaian N. Ton. (3) _____

(2) Zorica Becker-Kojic. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 July 2010.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Of Record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed outstanding rejections of record and Dr. Becker-Kojic's proposed response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thaian N. Ton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632	
--	--

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20100730

Examiner's suggestion to overcome this problem by applying the request for continuation, while patent is pending is highly appreciated.

Concerning hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) I have presented the argumentation that the specification while enabling for maintenance and expansion of HSCs also refer to generation of HSCs. The argumentation was as follows: As shown in the specification, the sorted CD34 positive cells expressing CD38 marker represent a hematopoietic progenitor cell population, with round non-polar morphology. After incubation with ACA specific antibody, these cells turned completely into cells with spindle morphology giving rise to generation of asymmetrically dividing cells (CD34+/CD38-) e.g. hematopoietic stem cells. The generation of the asymmetrically dividing cell (CD34+/CD38-) with polar spindle morphology, starting from progenitor cells (CD34+/CD38-) with round non-polar morphology, is a result of action of ACA. Crosslinking of ACA with specific antibody to ACA, changes the previous distribution of rafts, leading finally to their accumulation at one side of the cell membrane (spindle) allowing for concentration of the receptors for interaction with the ligands. This reaction is crucial for the mechanism of action of ACA as well as for the self-renewal for stem cell and explained why I think that the method described in the specification refers to generation, expansion and maintenance,

Regards,

Zorica Becker-Kojic

Dr. Zorica Becker-Kojic

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 07 2010

Patent Application No.: 10/579,462

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Zorica A. Becker-Kočić Ph. D.
Johann-Casimir-Weg 4
69181 Leimen

Examiner: Thaian N. Ton
Art Unit: 1632

Phone: +49 6224 9771012
e-mail: becker-kojic@gmx.de

Application No.: 11/579,462

Leimen, September 2, 2010

Filed: November 3, 2006

For: USE OF THE ACA GLYCOPROTEIN FOR OBTAINING / MAINTAINING PLURIPOTENT
NON-EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS

Summary of record of interview held on 22 July 2010

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Signature and Date

Dear Sir:

Zorica Becker Kočić, Sept. 2. 2010

This is in response to the substance of telephonic interview held on 22 July 2010 between applicant Dr. Becker-Kočić and examiner Dr. Thaian N. Ton. Discussed are the outstanding rejections of record and Dr. Becker-Kočić's proposed response.

I brief, while the objections stated by Examiner concerning the activators other than using ACA-specific antibody, or GPI-anchored glycoprotein ACA are removed from the claims, and the argumentation regarding generation of pluripotent stem cells starting with hematopoietic progenitor cells (CD34+/CD38+) which could be probative for the argumentation that the method described in the specification enable for generation of pluripotent stem cells as well, cannot be presented to the Examiner because the work is under review in Nature and displaying these data would compromise the process of accepting it for publication, a request for continuation will be filed. The